

SOCIAL and PERSONAL

COMMONWEALTH Chapter, Daughters of the American Revolution, held a literary meeting yesterday at noon, at the home of Mrs. B. Lorraine, No. 1403 Hanover Street. A paper on Meriwether Lewis, the explorer, was read by Mrs. Meriwether Anderson. Miss Annie Louise Reinhardt delighted all present with her violin solo. Miss Myrtle Redford being her accompanist. Mrs. M. B. Thomas beautifully rendered several vocal selections with Mrs. George Wheeler at the piano. At the conclusion of the program refreshments were served. Mrs. Lorraine proving herself a most charming hostess. Those present were Mrs. B. H. Ellington, historian of the chapter and chairman of the literary meetings; Mrs. M. A. Chambers; Mrs. D. T. Williams; Mrs. Charles T. Lee; Mrs. Willard Craig; Mrs. Julius Riddick; Mrs. R. C. Edwards; Mrs. Landon B. Edwards; Mrs. Harriet Ford; Mrs. George H. Ingles; Mrs. Miller McGee and Miss Martin.

Patience Announced. Mrs. J. L. Patterson, of No. 311 East Cary Street, announces the engagement and approaching marriage of her daughter, Miss Sadie Ellis Tabb, to Mr. John Fox May. Owing to recent illness in the bride's family, the wedding will be very quiet. It will take place in Washington on March 25th. No cards will be issued.

History Class to Meet. This history class of the Woman's Club will meet at 4 o'clock on Thursday, March 19th, at the residence of Mrs. S. H. Boykin. The fourth decade of the nineteenth century will be reviewed.

Frederick House Party. Mr. and Mrs. A. F. Wiley, of Middletown, Frederick county, have been entertaining an agreeable house party during the past week. Among the guests are Miss Ruth Randolph, of Chester; Miss Ada Randolph, of Kernstown; Miss Mary Randolph, of Capon Bridge; Miss Virginia Jones, of Stephenson; Miss Mary Gordon, of Middletown; Mr. Alanzo Jones and Mr. Fred. Jones, of Stephenson, and Mr. Ollie Wiley, of Stephenson.

Goldsmith-Page. Much interest is taken here in the announcement of the wedding in Philadelphia, on Saturday, of Mrs. Evelyn Byrd Page, daughter of Dr. and Mrs. Robert Page, of Baltimore, Md., to Mr. John Goldsmith, of Atlanta, Ga.

The marriage took place quietly, the bride and groom leaving at once for New York, where the honeymoon will be spent. From New York they will go to Alabama, where they will make their future home.

Snowden Week-End Party. Mr. and Mrs. Frank Gust, of Snowden, near Fredericksburg, have been hostesses of an enjoyable week-end party, from which guests have just returned to their homes.

The party was given in honor of Miss Elsie Wellford, of Sabine Hall, who has been visiting her sister, Mrs. Frost. Those asked to meet her were Miss Flora Mason, of Fredericksburg; Miss Lemon, of Baltimore; Messrs. Harry Byrd and Shields McCandlish, of Washington, and Mr. Donald Blair, of this city.

For Benefit of New Church. Miss Maria Blair will give a lecture on "The Isles of the Adriatic and Jerusalem" in the home of Mrs. John C. Robertson, at Forest Hill, this afternoon at 4:30 o'clock.

The lecture will be for the benefit of the new Episcopal Church at Forest Hill, the Church of the Good Shepherd.

Over the Teacups. Miss Maude Morgan and Miss Sue Spillman were hostesses of the Girls' Club tea room at the Jefferson Hotel yesterday afternoon. They were assisted by a number of pretty girls, and the hours from 4 to 7 were pleasantly busy. It is quite the correct thing now for parties of friends to occupy a table together in the tea room and see all the rest of the smart world drop in by twos and threes for rest and refreshment.

Fine Ware and Mahogany. Mrs. Virgilus Newton has been displaying a collection of fine Japanese ware and some very handsome examples from French dealers. In addition to these she has for sale some valuable furnishings in colonial mahogany. These are all arranged in room No. 4 on the first floor of the Jefferson.

It's Dollars to Doughnuts

that if you have once tried Good Luck Baking Powder you are still using it. Good Luck will raise your baking to the highest degree of excellence. Its fine baking qualities and low price of 10c per pound make

GOOD LUCK Baking Powder

an ideal article for the thrifty housewife. The new patent moisture proof and airtight can in which it is sold keeps Good Luck always fresh and dry. Save label coupons for valuable presents. Don't delay—get Good Luck to-day. If not at your dealer's write

THE SOUTHERN MFG. CO., Richmond, Va.

Soon to Be Married



MISS LETITIA RICHARDSON SMITH. Miss Smith will be married on March 21st at Rock Springs, Augusta county, the home of her father, Mr. John Randolph Smith, to Mr. Samuel W. Hairston, son of Judge N. H. Hairston, of Roanoke.

Miss Smith is widely known in Virginia, having been on various occasions sponsor and maid of honor at Confederate reunions.

The Duke and Miss Elkins. Quite a sensation has been created in Washington by the probability of the announcement of an engagement between the Duke of Abruzzi, cousin of the King of Italy, and Miss Katherine H. Elkins, daughter of Senator Stephen B. Elkins, of West Virginia, and granddaughter of former Senator Henry G. Davis, who was Democratic candidate for Vice-President in 1904, and whose fortune has been estimated at \$30,000,000.

Miss Elkins is noted for her fondness for outdoor sports. She is a dashing horsewoman and a great favorite in society. She first met the duke while she was visiting the West Virginia Building at the Jamestown Exposition, and he was commander of the Italian squadron to the exposition. It was said to be a case of love at first sight on his part.

Another devoted suitor for Miss Elkins is General Lord, of England.

Patroness for Bench Show



MRS. MARION LAMBERT. Mrs. Marion L. Lambert, of Horntown, Va., has accepted the post of honor at the head of the list of patronesses for the bench show of the Mississippi Kennel Club, to be held at the Crescent Rink, beginning April 1st and continuing four days.

Mrs. Lambert was formerly Miss Florence Parker, of this city, and returns each year to occupy a box at the Richmond horse show. She is a sister of Mrs. J. W. Henson and of Mr. Stafford Parker.

Personal Mention. Mrs. Linard, of Washington, arrived in Richmond on Monday evening, and is at No. 215 East Franklin Street.

Dr. Jacob Michaux has returned from Norfolk, where he spent the week-end with Mrs. Michaux, who is visiting her daughter, Mrs. Edward Baird.

Miss Caroline Gordon Reynolds and Miss Elsie Lindsey, who are visiting Miss Sarah Hamilton, in Petersburg, and Miss Katherine Gunn, who is the guest of Miss MacKenna Jones, in that city, attended the reception held at the Country Club after the meet of the Riverside Hunt, on Saturday.

Major and Mrs. Miller are enjoying the early spring season at Gardiner Cottage, Virginia Beach.

Mrs. E. E. Brockenbrough, who has been visiting friends here, has returned to Staunton.

Mr. and Mrs. Charles Burrell Ryan, of Norfolk, announce the engagement of their daughter, Kate Mistle, to Mr. James Smith Barron, of this city. The wedding has not been announced.

Miss Edith Ingersoll is visiting her mother, Mrs. Daniel Croghan, in Staunton.

Colonel John Walter Fairfax, who served on General Longstreet's staff in the Confederate Army, and was known as the "fighting aid" on account of his distinguished bravery, is ill at his home, Freestone, in Prince William county.

Professor W. M. Lile, dean of the law school in the University of Virginia, has returned from a visit to Europe. He and Mrs. Lile are now at Florence, Italy, and will go from Florence to Rome, and to the other principal Italian cities. They expect to sail for home early in August.

Miss Lina Shields, who has returned to Richmond from Norfolk, visited Mrs. Philip M. Kurtz and Mrs. Allie Thompson, while she was in Norfolk.

Mrs. R. J. Jones, of Danville, is reported to be improving at St. Luke's Hospital.

Mr. and Mrs. T. C. Morton have left to make their future home in Staunton. Mr. M. A. Samuelson, who has been spending several weeks in Richmond, has returned to Lexington, Ky.

Kurtz-Thompson. (Special to The Times-Dispatch.) CHARLOTTESVILLE, Va., March 17.—Mr. and Mrs. Kurtz and Mrs. Allie Thompson, widow and widow, both of Albemarle, were married at 10 o'clock this morning at the Raleigh Institute by the Rev. Dr. H. W. Tribble, of the High Street Baptist Church. Immediately after the ceremony they left for their home, near Albemarle, Va.

Rhodes-Garner. (Special to The Times-Dispatch.) CHARLOTTESVILLE, Va., March 17.—Mr. E. C. Rhodes, formerly of the firm of North Garden, and Miss Elizabeth Garner, of this city, were married at 7 o'clock last evening at the parsonage of the First Methodist Church, the Rev. Dr. R. D. Smart officiating.

COLLEGES FOR WOMEN. North and South Carolina Association. (Special to The Times-Dispatch.) WINSTON-SALEM, N. C., March 17.—The first annual meeting of the North and South Carolina Association of Colleges for women convened here this afternoon. The opening session was devoted exclusively to the discussion of business matters. The day closed with a social hour. Addresses of welcome were made by Mayor O. B. Brown and Bishop Edward Rothman, of the Southern Province Moravian Church. Addresses were delivered by President Robert P. Pell, of Converse College, Spartanburg, S. C.; President Lee Davis Lodge, of Limestone College, Gaffney, S. C.; President R. T. Vann, of the Baptist University for Women, Raleigh; President W. W. Daniel, of Columbia College, Columbia, S. C.; President Q. B. King, of Elizabeth College, Charlotte, N. C.

Following the night session the visitors were tendered a reception from 9 to 12 o'clock by Dr. H. H. Clewell, president of the association.

NORFOLK FIRM GETS CONTRACT. To Do Large Quantity of Gravel. (Special to The Times-Dispatch.) WILSON, N. C., March 17.—On correction of bids for sidewalk paving, amounting to several miles of gravel, the lowest bid, and will be awarded the contract, and is to begin in ten days, and be completed in sixty days under a \$5,000 bond.

At a meeting of officers of the Second Regiment, held at the residence of W. C. Rodman, of Washington, was elected.

Fire this morning practically destroyed the dwelling owned by Charles Battle, on Green Street. Damage \$15,000 covered by insurance.

CAUGHT IN OWN NETS. Body of Dave Swindell, Missing Ten Days, Found Entangled in Nets. (Special to The Times-Dispatch.) NEWBERN, N. C., March 17.—The body of Dave Swindell, a negro fisherman who disappeared from home about ten days ago, was found this morning in the Neuse River opposite the Newbern Lumber Company by two small white boys.

The body was entangled in some fish nets, and it is supposed that Swindell had been caught and in the effort to save himself that he became entangled in the nets and was drowned. The coroner has summoned a jury and is investigating the drowning, but there seems to be no evidence of foul play.



WILL PUSH FIGHT FOR LOCKE CRAIG

Mr. Kerr Opens Headquarters for Him in Raleigh and Predicts His Nomination.

(Special to The Times-Dispatch.) RALEIGH, N. C., March 17.—State headquarters were opened here to-day for the campaign of Hon. Locke Craig for Governor, the Democratic nomination for Governor to succeed Governor Glenn.

Mr. Kerr, of Asheville, is in charge. He says he will be here now until the State convention, having procured a suite of rooms in the Yborborough. He said this afternoon, "I am not here to make extravagant claims, but I do say that there is no question about the fact that Locke Craig has a fine lead over any and all of his opponents in the contest, and my efforts through the Raleigh headquarters will be to continue and increase that lead until the State convention meets, when we confidently expect the nomination of Mr. Craig."

Portrait Presented to Court. This morning in the Supreme Court there was a notable ceremony, in which an oil painting of ex-Associate Justice James C. MacRae, of the Supreme Court, was presented to be placed on the walls of the courtroom heretofore presented. Ex-Chief Justice James E. Shephers was the spokesman for the presentation, he having been chief justice at the time that Judge MacRae was associate justice. He was appointed to fill the vacancy caused by the death of Justice Davis, and thereafter re-elected for a term and retired with a change of politics in the State in 1894. Later he was appointed to a law at the University of North Carolina, a position he now fills with marked ability.

Seventh District appeals are being argued in the court this week, among the lawyers here for arguments being Q. K. Nickocks, Charles G. Rose, R. H. Dye, H. McD. Robinson and Thomas H. Sutton.

Brief Raleigh Items. In accordance with a resolution passed by the directors some weeks ago, the Commercial and Farmers' Bank of this city, changes from a State to a national bank, without any change in officers or management.

Governor Glenn offers a reward for the capture of a man named Troy last standing in front of his place of business, but who, it is alleged, had been to the report of the case made to Governor Glenn.

A majority of State grants a charter for the Magnolia Fraternal Association (Incorporated), the corporation having no capital stock and being for the purpose of enlarging the scope of its business.

Professor M. B. Dry, of Wingate, has been elected principal of the Cary Public High School, to succeed E. M. Middleton, who resigns to become corresponding secretary of the North Carolina Baptist Sunday School Board.

IN ROW WITH DIXON. Playwright Replies in Sharp Letter to Editor. (Special to The Times-Dispatch.) RALEIGH, N. C., March 17.—Dr. William W. Hamilton, general manager of the Southern Baptist Convention, is conducting a highly successful revival meeting at Tabernacle Baptist Church, with a large attendance. Mrs. A. D. George, of Indiana, who is in charge of the singing, is immensely popular, and the interest in the revival is very marked.

Dr. Hamilton is to be succeeded within a week by Dr. A. C. Dixon, of Chicago, who is the play a terrible evil, and its author "in outer darkness" so to express it. Mr. Dixon has answered Mr. Masse's open letter, published in the newspapers, in being in the minister-playwright's most acrid and cutting style. A striking feature of the letter was that Mr. Dixon would offer a prayer for Mr. Masse, but he was satisfied that God could take care of the matter.

Candidate for Governor Speaks. (Special to The Times-Dispatch.) HIGH POINT, N. C., March 17.—Those hearing the speech of Hon. W. W. Masse, at the Raleigh Convention, were struck by the fact that he was a native of North Carolina, and that he was a native of North Carolina, and that he was a native of North Carolina.

CASTORIA. The Kind You Have Always Bought. Bears the Signature of Dr. J. C. Williams.

Reinach

107 EAST BROAD STREET

Richmond's Style Event!

Thursday and Friday
March 19th and 20th.

The Most Brilliant Spring Opening in Years

The "Coming Out" time of the absolutely correct modes for Spring and Summer seasons. For years our brilliant openings have been audited by all to set the styles for the season, and this occasion not only emphasizes our pre-eminent position as leaders, but re-establishes our supremacy as Richmond's greatest millinery centre. An invitation to attend is extended you—Come.

STUART DOUBTED PROPERTY OF IT

Thought Appointment Unwise Because of Rhea's Many Fierce Political Contests.

Judge William F. Rhea, of Bristol, who was recently confirmed by the General Assembly as a member of the State Corporation Commission, yesterday made public interesting correspondence between himself and Hon. R. W. Stuart, of Big Stone Gap, who was one of his attorneys in the investigation following his appointment by Governor Swenson.

The letters which are printed below relate to the testimony of Hon. Henry C. Stuart, Russell, and the reasons assigned by Judge Rhea and his counsel for not putting Mr. Stuart on his witness. These reasons are in direct conflict with those given in certain newspaper publications shortly after the investigation was over, which were to the effect that Judge Rhea's attorneys had talked with Mr. Stuart, but had declined to call him after hearing the nature of his evidence which would have been damaging to the Governor's nominee.

Judge Rhea wrote Mr. Irvine fully on the subject, asking him to state in writing his recollection of what took place between himself and Mr. A. A. Campbell and Mr. Stuart with reference to the latter's appearance on the stand, and Mr. Irvine, who it is understood is a friend and supporter of Mr. Stuart in his race for Governor, replied in detail.

Judge Rhea's Letter. The letter Judge Rhea to Mr. Irvine is as follows: "In view of the fact that one or more of the Richmond newspapers have placed me in a false light before the people of Virginia as to what occurred between Mr. A. A. Campbell and myself, as my counsel, and Mr. Stuart, I have written you to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the day following the testimony given by Mr. Stuart I was informed by Mr. A. A. Campbell that he had had a conversation with him to ascertain why he had been summoned, and the he gave you some intimation of what he would testify to if his evidence should be admitted. There was no suggestion on my part, and I am sure none upon the part of Mr. Campbell and yourself to keep him from testifying, and I am sure that you would not have let him know and let the committee know everything. On the